REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated December 5, 2005. By the present amendment, the original claims 1-57 have been canceled, without prejudice to the applicants' right to proceed with the subject matter of these claims by way of a continuation application. In their place, new independent claim 58 and its dependent claims 59-64 have been added to clarify the invention. With regard to this, it is noted that this Amendment obviates the 35 USC §112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 19 and 22 by removal of the term "very thin" which was objected to in that rejection. Therefore, removal of the 35 USC §112, second paragraph, rejection is respectfully requested.

Reconsideration and removal of the Prior Art rejections set forth in the Office Action based on Hosokawa (USP 6,538,374) and Eida (US 2001/0050532) is respectfully requested. By the present Amendment, new independent claim 58, like previously pending independent claim 5, defines an organic electroluminescent substrate having a first electrode, an organic layer and a second organic layer, together with a counter substrate adjacent to the organic EL substrate and a light extraction layer formed between the organic EL substrate and the counter substrate. However, newly presented independent claim 58 also defines a plurality of pixels for the organic light-emitting display, with each of the pixels having a plurality of sub-pixels and, in addition, an auxiliary electrode which is disposed in a

part of a sub-pixel. In conjunction with this, claim 58 defines:

"wherein the auxiliary electrode is formed on a same level as the first electrode and is connected to a current supply line within a drive layer via an inter-layer insulating layer."

With regard to this feature, it is respectfully submitted that, although Hosokawa is of general interest to the present invention, it completely fails to teach or suggest this auxiliary electrode arrangement, particularly the formation of the auxiliary electrode on the same level as the first electrode and the connection of the auxiliary electrode to a current supply line within a drive layer via an inter-layer insulating film. Similarly, nothing in the secondary reference to Eida or the other cited Prior Art in this case would at all suggest the complete modification of Hosokawa which would be necessary to arrive at this claimed auxiliary electrode structure. Therefore, reconsideration and allowance of the newly presented independent claim 58 is respectfully requested.

In addition, particular consideration and allowance of the dependent claims 59-64 is also respectfully requested. These claims define further details of the invention concerning the sub-pixels, the formation of a red and a color filter which, when considered in the overall context of the combination of the elements of claim 58, including the above-noted auxiliary electrode structure, serve to even further define over the cited Prior Art to Hosokawa and Eida. Therefore, reconsideration and allowance of these newly submitted dependent claims 59-64 is also respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes that there are any other points which may be

Dkt. 500.41280X00 Page 6 of 6

Serial No. 10/082,183 Art Unit 2879

clarified or otherwise disposed of either by telephone discussion or by personal interview, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at the number indicated below.

To the extent necessary, Applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to the Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (Docket No. 500.41280X00) and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory E. Montone

Reg. No. 28,141

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

GEM/dks (703)312-6600

N:\500\41280X00\AMD\D47860.DOC